

WEEK 12:

Motivations: What perfectoid theory achieved:

Cohomology (Hodge...)

$X/\mathbb{Z}_p$ smooth projective, $T = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$. X is a family over T with 2 variables.
How does $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_T, \mathbb{F}_p)$ change?

Example: X elliptic curve,

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_{\eta}, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong H_{\text{sing}}^1(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p^2 \quad \text{but} \quad H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_p, \mathbb{F}_p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{super sing.} \\ \mathbb{F}_p & \text{ordinary.} \end{cases}$$

generic fiber

Theorem: [Bhatt-Mossaw (?) - Scholze] $H_{\text{ét}}^*(X_{\eta}, \mathbb{F}_p) \leftrightarrow H^*(X, \Omega_{X/T}^\bullet)$.

Vanishings

char 0: $H^i(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0 \quad \forall i > 0$ ***char:** $H^i(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \xrightarrow[\text{proj. big \& s. ample } 0]{\exists f: X \xrightarrow{\text{finite}} Y} H^i(Y, f_* \mathcal{L}^{-1})$
sin. proj. ample for $i < \dim X$.

Theorem: [Bhatt] "works" in mixed char (kills p -torsion)

Direct summand conj.

$A \xrightarrow{i} B$, B finite A -module $\Rightarrow i$ splits as an A -module hom.
regular In char. 0 it is automatic, in char $\sim$ Kunz's thm.

Theorem [André] This holds.

Weight monodromy conj. (mixed char. version of Weil conj)

Weil conj.: eigenvalues of Frobenius on $H^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ are alg. numbers of absolute value $q^{i/2}$

Theorem: [Scholze] weight monodromy conjecture is true

Proposition: (A, A^+) complete affinoid, $a \in A$ is invertible $\Leftrightarrow |a| \neq 0 \quad \forall | \cdot | \in \text{Spa}(A, A^+)$.

We prove a stronger version.

Theorem: (A, A^+) as above, $T \subseteq A$ and $J = T \cdot A$, TFAE

1. $J = A$
2. $\forall | \cdot | \in \text{Spa}(A, A^+) \quad \exists t \in T: |t| \neq 0$
($\Leftrightarrow$ for T finite then $\{R(T/t) \mid t \in T\}$ open cover)

Proof: 1. $\Rightarrow$ 2. $1 = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i t_i \quad a_i \in A \quad t_i \in T$
 $1 = |1| = |\sum a_i t_i| \leq \max |a_i| \cdot |t_i| \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists i \text{ w/ } |t_i| \neq 0$.

2. $\Rightarrow$ 1. Suppose $J \neq A \Rightarrow \exists m$ maximal ideal such that
 $J \subseteq m \subseteq A$

Lemma: If A is a complete f -adic ring

(i) $A^\times$ is open (ii) $m \subseteq A$ max. ideal closed.

Proof: (i) $\frac{1}{1-a} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a^i$ if $a \in A^{\circ\circ} \Rightarrow 1 + A^{\circ\circ} \subseteq_{\text{open}} A^\times$

Thus for $a \in A^\times$, $a(1 + A^{\circ\circ}) \subseteq_{\text{open}} A^\times$ & $a \in a \cdot (1 + A^{\circ\circ})$

(ii) $m \subseteq \overline{m}^{\text{closure}} \subseteq A$ by (i) $\overline{m} \cap A^\times = \emptyset \Rightarrow m = \overline{m}$

Homework 17: (A, A^+) affinoid, $J \subseteq A$ ideal
then $\text{Spa}(A/J, (A/J)^+) \stackrel{\text{int. closure}}{\cong} \text{Spa}(A, A^+) \cap \text{Supp}^+(J)$

In particular is $(A/J, (A/J)^+)$ is affinoid?

Enough to show that $\exists | \cdot | \in \text{Spa}(A, A^+)$ st $m \subseteq \text{Supp}^+(J)$

$\Leftrightarrow \text{Spa}(A/m, (A/m)^+) \neq \emptyset$. We know that $0 \in A/m$ is closed
Hw17 $\neq 0 \Leftrightarrow A/m$ Hausdorff.

Proposition: (A, A^+) affinoid, TFAE

(i) $\text{Spa}(A, A^+) = \emptyset$ (ii) $\text{Cont}(A) = \emptyset$ (iii) $\overline{\{0\}} = A$

Proof: (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) is just density of $\text{Spa}(A, A^+) \subseteq \text{Cont}(A)$

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $| \cdot | \in \text{Cont}(A) : \text{Supp}_{|\cdot|} = \{a \in A \mid |a| = 0\}$ is closed in $A \Rightarrow \text{Supp}_{|\cdot|} = A$ which is a contradiction $\Rightarrow \text{Cont}(A) = \emptyset$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\{0\} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n$ where (A_0, I) is a couple of def.

if $I^n = I^{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$ then $\{0\}$ is also open $\Rightarrow A/\{0\}$ is discrete and every valuation is cont
 $\xrightarrow{\text{Cont}(A)=0} \{0\} = A$.

Claim: $p \subseteq q \subseteq A_0$ prime ideals if $I \subseteq q \Rightarrow I \subseteq p$. (this holds under the assumption (ii))

By Claim: $S = 1 + I$, $B = S^{-1}A_0$, $\varphi: A_0 \rightarrow B$, $m \subseteq B$ max. ideal, if $I \not\subseteq m \Rightarrow B \rightarrow B/m$ so 1 has a preimage in $I \cdot B$ of the form $\frac{a}{1+a}$ then $1 - \frac{a}{1+a}$ maps to 0
 $I \cdot B \rightarrow B/m$ but it's invertible thus $I \subseteq m$.

$\Rightarrow \varphi(I) \subseteq \text{Jac}(B) \xrightarrow{\text{Claim}} \varphi(I) \cdot B$ nilpotent $\xrightarrow{\varphi(I) \cdot B \text{ fin. gen.}} \exists a \in I : (1+a)I^n = 0 \Rightarrow I^n = I^{n+1}$.

Proof of the Claim: Suppose $I \not\subseteq p$, $(A_0/p)_{q/p} \subseteq \text{Frac}(A_0/p) \Rightarrow$ valuation $| \cdot |$ on A_0 such that $\text{Supp}_{|\cdot|} = p$ & $\{a \in A_0 \mid |a| < 1\} = q \Rightarrow | \cdot |$ is analytic (i.e. $\text{Supp}_{|\cdot|}$ not open).

Questions: ① Is $| \cdot |$ continuous ② Can it be extended to A ?

Lemma. $B \subseteq A$ open subring of a topological ring. Then the map induced by restriction $\text{Cont}(A)_{\text{an}} \rightarrow \text{Cont}(B)_{\text{an}}$ is surjective.

This answers ②. We are left to show that $| \cdot |$ can be deformed to a continuous valuation keeping it analytic.

Problem, if $|I| \cap c\Gamma = \emptyset$. Define $c\Gamma(I) = \begin{cases} c\Gamma & \text{if } |I| \cap c\Gamma \neq \emptyset \\ \{\delta \in \Gamma \mid \exists n \geq 0 : \delta^n \leq \delta \leq \delta^{-n}\} & \text{if } |I| \cap c\Gamma = \emptyset \end{cases}$
 where $\delta = \max\{|I|\}$

Why is $| \cdot |_{c\Gamma(I)}$ continuous if $|I| \cap c\Gamma = \emptyset$. Enough to show that $\{a \in A_0 \mid |a| < \delta^n\}$ is open for every n . This is because δ generates $c\Gamma(I)$ as a convex subgroup of Γ . But $I^{n+1} \subseteq \{a \in A_0 \mid |a| < \delta^n\}$.